



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/930,398	08/15/2001	Christine Carlucci	866.0002	1023

7590

11/28/2003

Marguerite Del Valle
Trademark and Patent Counselors of America, P.C.
19th Floor
915 Broadway
New York, NY 10010

EXAMINER

EREZO, DARWIN P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3761

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/930,398

Applicant(s)

CARLUCCI ET AL.

Examiner

Darwin P. Erez

Art Unit

3761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,411,484 to Shattuck.
3. **As to claim 1**, Shattuck teaches a device to secure medical tubing to a body comprising a one-piece fabric band (the band is formed with tricot fabric) having at least a first closed loop (formed when the cincture 1 is wrapped around a user's head) and a second closed loop 3, wherein the first closed loop fits elastically around a portion of the body and the second closed loop is capable of receiving and holding medical tubing close to the body.
4. **As to claim 2**, Shattuck teaches a portion of the body being a head.
5. **As to claim 3**, Shattuck teaches the fabric band comprising non-irritating material (tricot is a non-irritating material).
6. **As to claim 4**, Shattuck teaches the fabric band lined with friction creating material (Velcro).
7. **As to claims 6 and 11**, Shattuck teaches a device to secure medical tubing to a body comprising a one-piece fabric band (the band is formed with tricot fabric) having at

Art Unit: 3761

least a first closed loop (formed when the cincture 1 is wrapped around a user's head), a second closed loop 3, and a third closed loop (as seen in Fig. 5 when the cincture 1 wraps around the tube), wherein the first closed loop fits elastically around a portion of the body and the second and third closed loops are capable of receiving and holding medical tubing close to the body.

8. **As to claims 7 and 12**, Shattuck teaches a portion of the body being a head.

9. **As to claims 8 and 13**, Shattuck teaches the fabric band comprising non-irritating material (tricot is a non-irritating material).

10. **As to claims 9 and 14**, Shattuck teaches the fabric band lined with friction creating material (Velcro).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shattuck in view of US 3,878,849 to Muller et al.

13. **As to claims 5 and 10**, Shattuck teaches the second closed loop formed with heat sealing (col. 3, lines 61-62) but is silent with regards to the closed loops formed by stitching.

Muller teaches a device for holding a medical tubing to a body, wherein the device has closed loop formed with stitching **42**.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the second closed loop of Shattuck with any well known attachment, such as stitching, because Shattuck teaches that the loop could be formed with any permanent attachment. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to form the first and third closed loops of Shattuck with stitches in order to provide a customize tube holder that would not require any further adjustability.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erez who's telephone number is (703) 605-0420. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (703) 308-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Art Unit: 3761

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

dpe



**WEILUN LO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**